BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Our File No.: 110661

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHRISTINE MALONEY,

Docket No:

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

VS.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC,

Defendant.

CHRISTINE MALONEY (hereinafter referred to as "*Plaintiff*"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against NORTHSTAR LOCATION SERVICES, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "*Defendant*"), as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Cheektowaga, New York.
- 8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
 - 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the debt").
- 11. The debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
 - 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the debt Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.
 - 13. The debt was incurred on a Discover credit card.
 - 14. The credit card accrued interest.
 - 15. The credit card accrued late fees.
- 16. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 17. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the letter") dated February 15, 2016. ("Exhibit 1.")
 - 18. The letter was the initial communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.
 - 19. The letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 20. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 21. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 22. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 23. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is

inaccurate.

- 24. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.
- 25. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e requires debt collectors, when they notify consumers of their account balance, to disclose whether the balance may increase due to interest and fees. *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).
- 26. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the amount of the debt, but to convey such clearly.
 - 27. The letter sets forth a balance.
- 28. The letter fails to disclose whether the balance may increase due to additional interest.
- 29. The letter fails to disclose whether the balance may increase due to additional late fees.
- 30. The letter fails to include any "safe harbor" language concerning the accrual of interest and/or fees. *Avila v. Riexinger & Associates, LLC*, 817 F.3d 72, 76 (2d Cir. 2016).
- 31. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt.
- 32. The letters because of the aforementioned failures, would render the least sophisticated consumer unable to determine the amount of his or her debt because the consumer would not know whether interest and fees would continue to accrue, or whether the amount of the debt was static.
- 33. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, could be read by the least sophisticated consumer to mean that the "balance was static.
- 34. The letter, because of the aforementioned failures, could also reasonably read the letter to mean that the balance was dynamic due to the continued accumulation of interest and/or late fees.
- 35. Because the letter is susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer, as described, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 36. Because the letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, it is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
 - 37. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and misleading

representation in its attempt to collect a debt.

JURY DEMAND

38. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692k; and
- b. Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- c. Plaintiff's actual damages; and
- d. Plaintiff's costs; all together with
- e. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: October 13, 2016

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: /s/ Craig B. Sanders
BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC
100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

csanders@barshaysanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 110661